

Little Silver Borough, Monmouth County

July 1, 2020

Conditions of Compliance

1. What conditions from the court's approval of the municipal housing element and fair share plan and judgment of compliance and repose (or whatever standard terms is being used), if any, have not yet been satisfied? Explain the reasons for any delay and the steps the municipality is taking to satisfy the condition(s).

No conditions.

Developments that Are Not Completed

2. For each court-approved inclusionary development project that is not yet constructed, please provide a narrative as to its status and any progress towards construction.

Ordinances were approved in September 2018. No development proposals have been received.

3. Have any non-inclusionary development projects (including 100% affordable projects, group homes, accessory apartments, market-to-affordable, extensions of affordability controls, etc.) included in the court-approved plan not yet been built/converted to affordable housing/controls extended? If yes, explain how many units, if any, have been built for each non-inclusionary project or mechanism and when construction is expected to be completed on the remaining units.

No.

4. Are there any projects that have missed any construction deadline established in the court-approved Settlement Agreement, or other mechanisms (e.g. market-to-affordable, accessory apartments, extensions of affordability controls) that have not met the completion schedule set forth in the Settlement Agreement or Housing Element and Fair Share Plan? If yes, what steps is the municipality taking to complete construction and what is the current timetable?

No.

5. Are all unbuilt developments currently in a sewer service area, and if not what has the municipality done to incorporate the site into a sewer service area? Are there any barriers to

obtaining water or sewer for any unbuilt site? Are there any other regulatory conditions (e.g. changes to DEP permits or conditions) that make it not possible to complete any site as originally contemplated?

No.

Rehabilitation Obligation

6. Is the rehabilitation program being administered by a municipality, county, or both? Do the program(s) include rental rehabilitation? If the municipality has not met at least half of its rehabilitation obligation by this midpoint review, what affirmative steps is the municipality taking to meet the obligation and to facilitate participation by homeowners and/or landlords?

n/a

For Municipalities with a Prior Round and/or Third Round Vacant Land Adjustment (note please make sure any development referenced in the answers to these questions that includes or will include affordable housing is also in the monitoring spreadsheet):

7. If the municipality's court-approved Prior and/or Third Round plan includes Unmet Need:

a. Has there been any development, proposal for development received by the municipality (even if ultimately rejected), adoption of rezoning or a redevelopment plan for of any parcel larger than 0.5 acres since the settlement was approved by the court on a parcel that was neither previously identified in calculating the municipality's RDP nor included in an inclusionary overlay zone? If so:

i. Please describe the development(s), development proposal(s), rezoning(s) or redevelopment plans(s)?

ii. Is any affordable housing included in any of the development(s) proposals(s), and/or rezoning(s) or redevelopment plan(s) referenced?

iii. If the municipality has a mandatory set-aside ordinance, was that applied to the development(s) and/or rezoning(s) or redevelopment plan(s)?

No.

b. Has any development occurred or been proposed to occur within any inclusionary overlay zone or for which a mandatory set-aside ordinance, if required to be adopted by the municipality, would apply since the settlement? If so:

- i. What is that development or developments?*
- ii. Does that proposed or actual development include any affordable housing? What percentage of the development is affordable?*

No.

c. Have any changed circumstances occurred that result in additional parcels becoming available for development that were neither previously identified in calculating the municipality's RDP nor included in an inclusionary overlay zone? If yes, please identify the parcel(s) and describe how the municipality plans to address the changed circumstances.

No.

For Municipalities with a Prior Round and/or Third Round Durational Adjustment:

8. If the municipality's court-approved plan had a durational adjustment, have there been any changed circumstances with regards to limited sewer and/or water capacity? If yes, please describe the changes and when and where additional infrastructure capacity will become available.

N/a

9. What steps has the municipality taken, if any, to address limited water and/or sewer capacity, and to facilitate the extension of public water and/or sewer to any site identified in the settlement agreement?

N/a

10. Has any property owner or developer contacted the municipality to extend public water and/or sewer to a site not identified in the settlement agreement? If so, how did the municipality respond to the request?

N/a

***This format is based upon the FSHC provided template for purposes of convenience only and not as an acknowledgement that any of the provided information is prescribed by relevant law.**

4. VERY LOW INCOME REPORTING

Very Low Income Units approved and constructed since July 17, 2008			
Development/Compliance Mechanism	Total Affordable Units	VLI units constructed as of date of report	VLI units not constructed as of date of this report but still planned
Accessory apartments	10	0	2
Overlay zones	unknown	unknown	unknown
Total	10	0	2

This tab provides reporting required on very low income units, i.e. units affordable to and reserved for See N.J.S.A. 52:27D-329.1.

